July 7, 2014

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

U.S. Sentencing Commission
Attn: Public Affairs
One Columbus Circle, N.E., Suite 2-500
Washington, D.C. 20002-8002

Re: Comment on 2014 Drug Guidelines Amendment Retroactivity

Dear Judge Saris and Commissioners,

In March, many of the undersigned former federal and state prosecutors, judges and law enforcement officials wrote to the Commission in support of the 2014 Drug Guidelines Amendment, which proposed to reduce all drug sentencing guidelines by two levels. We were pleased that the Commission adopted this considered and carefully designed measure to address the exponential growth of the federal prison population and its consequent cost. We write again today to urge the Commission to apply the Amendment retroactively to the thousands of currently incarcerated inmates sentenced under the previous guidelines.

As former prosecutors and judges, we are concerned by the current strain on the federal prison system, which now requires 25 percent of the Department of Justice’s operating budget to accommodate unprecedentedly large prison populations. In order to realize the considerable cost savings of the amended guidelines, the thousands of offenders sentenced since 1991 who would be eligible if the Commission made the Amendment retroactive, should be allowed to apply for a reduced sentence. Retroactivity of the amended guidelines would serve as a critical component to the systemic solutions needed to relieve the burden of overpopulation in the federal prison system. The cost of reviewing sentences now is dwarfed by the potential savings, $2.42 billion over the next thirty years, which the Department of Justice can redirect to critical law enforcement functions.

The 2007 Amendment, adopted to reduce crack cocaine sentencing guidelines by two levels, provides a helpful roadmap for how the current amendment can be implemented retroactively without a decrease in public safety. This Commission’s five-year study of those inmates released under the 2007 program showed that there was no increase in recidivism compared to inmates who were released before the program was implemented. Furthermore, the many safeguards built into the review process will ensure

---


that any request for a sentence reduction can be rejected if it would pose a threat to public safety, rendering categorical exceptions to eligibility unnecessary. For the Amendment to achieve its intended goal of reducing costs, the program must be available to the full range of inmates who would otherwise be eligible under the current guidelines.³

We commend the Commission for its leadership in seeking to address the dramatic increase in federal prison populations and costs. The 2014 Drug Guidelines Amendment reducing all drug sentencing guidelines by two levels was a sensible and necessary step towards relieving the burdens of excessive sentences on the federal prison system. Applying the Amendment retroactively and without categorical exceptions would further the Commission’s goals of addressing federal prison populations and costs, while being mindful of the need to maintain public safety. For these reasons, we strongly support applying the new guidelines retroactively and without categorical exceptions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Richard S. Berne
Former Assistant United States Attorney, Eastern District of New York; Former Assistant United States Attorney, Northern District of California

William G. Broaddus
Former Attorney General, Virginia

Arthur L. Burnett, Sr.
Former Magistrate Judge, United States District Court, District of Columbia; Former Assistant United States Attorney, District of Columbia; former Trial Attorney, United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division

A. Bates Butler, III
Former United States Attorney, District of Arizona

David H. Coar
Former Judge, United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Barry Coburn
Assistant United States Attorney, District of Columbia

Bruce J. Einhorn
Former Judge, Los Angeles Immigration Court; Former Chief of Litigation, Office of Special Investigations, Department of Justice

³ If the amendment is made retroactive, an estimated 51,141 offenders sentenced between October 1, 1991, and October 31, 2014, would be eligible to seek a reduction. Retroactivity Memo, supra note 1, at 7.
James P. Fieweger
Former Assistant United States Attorney, Northern District of Illinois

Kobie Flowers
Former Trial Attorney, United States Department of Justice, Criminal Section

John N. Gallo
Former Assistant United States Attorney, Northern District of Illinois

Lawrence S. Goldman
Former Assistant District Attorney, New York County, NY

Daniel F. Goldstein
Former Assistant United States Attorney, District of Maryland

Steven Gordon
Former Assistant United States Attorney, District of Columbia

Erlinda O. Johnson
Former Assistant United States Attorney, District of New Mexico

Miriam A. Krinsky
Former Assistant United States Attorney, Chief of General Crimes and Criminal Appeals Sections, Central District of California; Member, Attorney General's Sentencing Guidelines Advisory Committee

Kwame Manley
Former Deputy Criminal Chief, United States Attorney’s Office, District of Maryland; Former Assistant United States Attorney, District of Maryland

John G. Martin
Former Assistant United States Attorney, Eastern District of New York; Former Assistant District Attorney, New York County

John S. Martin
Former United States District Judge, Southern District of New York; Former United States Attorney, Southern District of New York

Robert Mathias
Former Assistant United States Attorney, District of Maryland

Nan R. Nolan
Former United States Magistrate Judge, Northern District of Illinois

Stephen M. Orlofsky
Former Judge, United States District Court, District of New Jersey
Katrina Pflaumer
Former United States Attorney, Western District of Washington

Stephen H. Sachs
Former United States Attorney, District of Maryland; Former Assistant United States Attorney, District of Maryland

Stephen Saltzburg
Former Ex-Officio Member, United States Sentencing Commission; Former Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, United States Department of Justice; Former Associate Counsel, Office of Independent Counsel for Iran/Contra

William S. Sessions
Former Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation; Former Judge, United States District Court, Western District of Texas, Chief Judge; Former United States Attorney, Western District of Texas

Jeffrey B. Sklaroff
Assistant United States Attorney, Southern District of New York

Neal R. Sonnett
Former Assistant United States Attorney, Chief of Criminal Division, Southern District of Florida

David J. Stetler
Former Assistant United States Attorney, Northern District of Illinois

Thomas P. Sullivan
Former United States Attorney, Northern District of Illinois

Keith Uhl
Former United States Special Prosecutor, District of Iowa

Atlee W. Wampler, III
Former United States Attorney, Southern District of Florida; Former Attorney-in-Charge, Miami Strike Force, Organized Crime and Racketeering Section, Department of Justice

Jim West
Former United States Attorney, Middle District of Pennsylvania

Warren D. Wolfson
Former Judge, Illinois Appellate Court, 1st District; Former Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois

Sharon Zealey
Former United States Attorney, Southern District of Ohio

David M. Zlotnick
Former Assistant United States Attorney, District of Columbia